

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/447,443	11/22/1999	PRASANTA BEHERA	NETS0059	3814
22862	7590 08/28/2003			
GLENN PATENT GROUP			EXAMINER	
	WAY, SUITE L K, CA 94025		DODDS, HAROLD E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2177	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			DATE MAILED: 08/28/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

3

	•	A_{1}			
, *1	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
A alvin a m. A atio m	09/447,443	BEHERA, PRASANTA			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Harold E. Dodds, Jr.	2177			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 18 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
. a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of		•			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The da have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moleaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	•				
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	oelow);				
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying th			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejections.	etion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	· · · ——	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					

Vandl E. Dodder, &.

Claim(s) rejected: 1-27.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____

10. Other: ____

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Raper No(s).

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The combination of Weschler et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,470,332), Hann et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,799,153), and Albrecht et al. (U.S. Patent No. (5,950,011) render obvious independent claims 1, 10, and 19. Weschler teaches "providing a user defined access control command attribute" at col. 1, lines 55-59, col. 8, lines 56-59, and col. 7, lines 57-61, "a specified set of Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP) attributes" at col. 4, lines 61-63 and col. 8, lines 56-59, "providing a system administrator defined" at col. 2, lines 35-37 and col. 1, lines 55-59, "read access control command" at col. 8, lines 1-9, col. 8, lines 56-59, and col. 7, lines 56-59, and col. 7, lines 56-59, "wherein said read access control command" at col. 8, lines 10-15, col. 8, lines 10-15, col. 8, lines 56-59, "said read access control command" at col. 8, lines 56-59, "said read access control command" at col. 8, lines 56-59, "said read access control command" at col. 8, lines 56-59, "said read access control command" at col. 8, lines 56-59, "said read access control command" at col. 8, lines 56-59, and col. 7, lines 56-59, "that said administrator has selected" at col. 2, lines 35-37 and col. 1, lines 55-59, "for user defined read access" at col. 1, lines 55-59, col. 8, lines 1-9, and col. 8, lines 56-59, "and said read access control command at col. 8, lines 1-9, col. 8, lines 56-59, and col. 7, lines 56-59, and col. 7, lines 56-59, "referring to said user defined" at col. 1, lines 55-59, "at runtime" at col. 1, lines 55-59, "read access to said LDAP user attributes" at co. 8, lines 1-9, col. 8, lines 56-59, and col. 7, lines 61-63; Hann teaches "containing user identifications" at col. 16, lines 16-21 and "allowing said read user identifications" at col. 7, lines 30-33 and col. 16, lines 18-23, "that are allowed to read" at col. 2, lines 26-32, "read list" at col. 10, lines 18-23, "that are allowed to read" at col. 2, lines 26-32, "read list" at c